

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	3	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/856,321	11/19/2001		Oskar Dauner	051480-5045	6679
75	90 03/1	7/2004		EXAMINER	
Morgan Lewis & Bockius 1701 Market Street				NGUYEN, TAN QUANG	
Philadelphia, PA 19103-2921			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
• •				3661	

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/856,321	DAUNER ET AL.	o.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	TAN Q NGUYEN	3661					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ess				
THE REPLY FILED 09 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated) a timely filed amendment whicle	ation. A proper reply h places the applicati	to a ion in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. S R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The approperation originally set in the final C	n. See MPEP priate extension priate extension Office action; or				
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.					
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims	•				
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	mendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi e Continuation Sheet.	idered but does NOT	place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were	newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo)∏ will be entered and ow or appended.	nd an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.							
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-11.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·					
10. Other:		Pan Logu	y				
		TAN Q NGUYEN Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3661					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the references cited do read on the claimed invention. Especially, Eitzenberger reference does teach the "priority management system" in at least column 7, line 65 to column 8, line 4..